1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA	
3	AT CHARLESTON	
4	THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PERMITS	) MDI NO
5		) MDL NO. ) 2:10-md-2187
6	LITIGATION)	)
7	,	) MDL NO. ) 2:12-md-2325 )
8	IN RE: BOSTON SCIENTIFIC	) MDL NO.
9	CORPORATION, PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	) 2:12-md-2326
10	, ,	) MDL NO.
11 12	REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	) 2:12-md-2327 )
13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	) MDL NO. ) 2:12-md-2387
14	LITIGATION	)
15	IN RE: COOK MEDICAL, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY	) ) MDL NO. ) 2:13-md-2440
16	LITIGATION	)
17		
18	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. GOODWIN,	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,	
19	AND CHERYL A. EIFERT, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20	TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 2015; 1:00 P.M. CHARLESTON, WV	
21	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,	
22	transcript produced by computer.	
23	Mary A. Schweinhagen, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter	
24	300 Virginia Street, East Charleston, WV 25301	
25	*** *** ***	

Mary A. Schweinhagen (304)347-3188

```
1
                          A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
                          (Speaking Counsel Only)
2
 3
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4
     HENRY G. GARRARD, III, ESQUIRE
     Blasingame, Burch, Garrard & Ashley
5
     P.O. BOX 832
     Athens, GA 30603
6
     CLAYTON A. CLARK, ESQUIRE
7
     Clark, Love & Hutson
     440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600
     Houston, TX 77002
8
9
     BENJAMIN H. ANDERSON, ESQUIRE
     Anderson Law Offices, LLC
10
     1360 W. 9th Street, Suite 215
     Cleveland, OH 44113
11
     BRYAN F. AYLSTOCK, ESQUIRE
12
     Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis & Overholtz
     17 East Main Street, Suite 200
13
     Pensacola, FL 32502
14
     ROBERT L. SALIM, ESQUIRE
     Law Offices of Robert L. Salim
15
     1901 Texas Street
     Natchitoches, LA 71457
16
     RILEY L. BURNETT, JR., ESQUIRE
17
     Burnett Law Firm
     55 Waugh Drive
18
     Houston, TX 77007
19
     ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS:
20
     LORI G. COHEN, ESQUIRE (C.R. Bard)
21
     Greenberg & Traurig
     3333 Piedmont Road, Suite 2500
22
     Terminus 200
     Atlanta, GA 30327
23
     ADRIANE THEIS, ESQUIRE (American Medical Systems)
24
     Reisman, Karron & Greene
     1700 K Street, NW, suite 200
25
     Washington, D.C. 20006
```

```
1
                           A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
                          (Speaking Counsel Only)
2
 3
     ROBERT T. ADAMS, ESQUIRE (Boston Scientific)
     Shook, Hardy & Bacon
 4
     2555 Grand Boulevard
     Kansas City, MO 64108
 5
     CHRISTY D. JONES, ESQUIRE (Ethicon)
6
     Butler, Snow
     P.O. Box 6010
7
     Ridgeland, MS 39158
8
     LANA K. VARNEY, ESQUIRE (Coloplast)
     Fulbright & Jaworski
9
     98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 1100
     Austin, TX 78701
10
     DOUGLAS B. KING, ESQUIRE (Cook)
11
     Wooden & McLaughlin, LLP
     211 North Pennsylvania Street
12
     One Indiana Square, Suite 1800
     Indianapolis, IN 46204
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Mary A. Schweinhagen (304) 347-3188

1 JUDGE GOODWIN: Good afternoon. 2 THE CLERK: The matter before the Court is In Re. 3 C.R. Bard, Inc., MDL Number 2187; In Re. American Medical 4 Systems, Inc., MDL Number 2325; In Re. Boston Scientific 5 Corporation, MDL Number 2326; In Re. Ethicon, Inc., MDL Number 6 2327; In Re. Coloplast Corp., MDL Number 2387; In Re. Cook 7 Medical, Inc., MDL Number 2440; Pelvic Repair System Products 8 Liability Litigation. 9 JUDGE GOODWIN: Good afternoon. Welcome back to 10 Charleston. The District Court, Judge Eifert and I, and 11 Charleston visitors bureaus and hotels and restaurants welcome 12 you. It's nice to see all of you here today. 13 I have a new court reporter. Mary Schweinhagen is new 14 with the court. If you'll please help her by identifying 15 yourself before you speak, I would greatly appreciate it. Let's move to the agenda items. The first item is in the 16 17 Bard/Covidien MDL, and just a general status update would be 18 appreciated. 19 MR. GARRARD: Yes, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE GOODWIN: Mr. Gerrard. 21 MR. GARRARD: Over the last several months, there 22 have been multiple depositions taken in the Bard litigation in 23 relation to Wave III cases. Those have gone along 24 cooperatively and productively. There have been a number of

discussions among the parties in terms of the cases and where

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the cases are going, which I think have been productive discussions. And I anticipate that that will continue, Your Honor. I don't know that there is much else to say at the moment. JUDGE GOODWIN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gerrard. Anything from anybody else? MS. COHEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon. Lori Cohen on behalf of Bard. And we agree with the description by Mr. Gerrard. We have been busy working cooperatively with Mr. Gerrard and the plaintiff's counsel on the Wave 200 and the Wave 300. I think everything is where it should be. We've also had some continued discussions on alternative resolution as a parallel other tract with various counsel, and that has been productive as well. JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you very much.

I want to thank counsel. I know that counsel on both sides have been working very hard. This is what I would call a mature MDL. I promised I was going to be nice today.

But I sincerely went to comment, as I have in the past, the professionalism of counsel on both sides for the way the litigation's been conducted. It's very difficult when you have this many cases to maintain schedules and everything while keeping cool, and we have very highly qualified

```
1
     professional counsel involved on both sides of these cases,
 2
     and I very much appreciate it.
 3
          Thank you.
 4
               MS. COHEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
               MR. GARRARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
               JUDGE GOODWIN: Turn to American Medical System.
7
     Ms. Theis.
8
               MS. THEIS: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Adriane
9
     Theis for American Medical Systems.
10
          I'm here to -- I am pleased to say that we are making
11
     significant progress with the administrative elements that AMS
12
     has entered into in the past year. As we've reported before,
13
     in the past year AMS has entered into settlement agreements
14
     that are intended to resolve substantially all of the U.S.
15
     pelvic mesh claims against the American Medical Systems.
16
          Currently, over 280 firms are now participating in one of
17
     the AMS settlement agreements. That represents 95 percent of
18
     the MDL Plaintiffs Steering Committee, and it also includes
19
     liaison counsel for Minnesota, Delaware, New Jersey, and
20
     Massachusetts state courts where AMS has cases pending.
21
          All the plaintiffs' firms, including plaintiffs, have
22
     been offered the opportunity to resolve their claims in one of
23
     our settlements subject to --
24
               JUDGE GOODWIN: I am sorry. Could you slow down
25
     just a little bit?
```

MS. THEIS: Yes.

JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you.

MS. THEIS: In cooperation with plaintiffs' counsel, we're making significant progress in implementing the settlements that have been entered into. We continue to issue releases on a weekly basis for claims that have completed and submitted settlement documentation. We also continue to authorize payment for claimants who have returned filed executed releases.

As claimants are provided valid releases, and we pay them, AMS continues to work with plaintiffs' counsel to dismiss the cases. And right now approximately 2,500 MDL cases have been dismissed or are currently pending dismissal.

And we are also working closely with plaintiffs' counsel to put pending cases awaiting processing on the inactive docket. As of now, we have approximately 2,000 MDL cases on the inactive docket, and we are aiming to have an additional 6,000 on the inactive docket by the end of this month, for a total of 8,000 by the end of the month.

So we are happy to report that settlements are progressing smoothly with AMS, and we expect that to continue.

JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you very much. I am very pleased with the smooth progress that has been made in carrying out the AMS settlements, virtually all of the claims, some 95 percent. I am pleased that we are not seeing the same

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
bumps in the road that are caused by delays in document
production that we had seen in the past and that that has
smoothed out now. And that, in fact, by the end of the month,
as you say, we should see about 8,000 cases either dismissed
or on the inactive docket, which is very, very good progress,
I think.
         MS. THEIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
          JUDGE GOODWIN: The plaintiff -- any plaintiff's
lawyer want to comment on that?
          MR. GARRARD: No. Your Honor.
          JUDGE GOODWIN: All right. Turning next to Boston
Scientific. Who would like to speak for them?
          MR. CLARK: Clayton Clark for the plaintiffs, Your
Honor.
     I think we've got good news for the Court with regard to
the Boston docket, as you are aware. A number of cases have
been tried. We had the cases in West Virginia as well as down
in Florida, and then there's been a recent case that was
tried.
     We have now entered settlement negotiations and have
gotten very close to finality with at least three significant
dockets, and a process has begun to include, I think, all the
remainder of Boston Scientific plaintiffs.
     We're much earlier in that phase, in that stage of
learning how to work together with defense counsel and with
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the executives at Boston to make this process work smoothly, but it's a, it's a positive thing that we are seeing today. We're not fighting as much. We're getting along a little bit better and attempting to get some settlements for you. JUDGE GOODWIN: All right. Defendants have anything they want to add? MR. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor. Robert Adams for Boston Scientific. And we are in negotiations obviously and working well with plaintiffs' counsel. We're also -- as you are aware, in our Wave cases, you have entered some orders. We have some remand cases, including the case in North Carolina in the fall that we are working on, but I don't anticipate any type of discovery issues. JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you very much. I am very pleased with the developments, fairly recent developments in the Boston Scientific MDL. My compliments to counsel on working professionally and in very, very good faith. I'll have some comments later about the results of individual cases and what they mean and don't mean in terms of settlements or resolutions. But I will say this: I never dreamed I would have 75,000 cases, I never dreamed I would

have seven MDLs, and I never dreamed I would have quite as

many good lawyers as I've had. I don't have very many

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
clunkers, and I won't identify them. We are coming along
quite nicely now, and I am in much better humor than you found
me before. It seems like the times that I have been on the
bench in our past meetings I have been giving lectures.
will try to avoid that today.
     Thank you very much.
     Next, Cook MDL. Mr. King?
          MR. KING: Yes, Your Honor. I think we've resolved
or had discussions privately with the Court before today
that's resolved everything we had to do.
     One -- we do have one agenda item that was put on at the
request of actually one of my paralegals, that we are not
being notified when we are being added to other MDLs as a
co-defendant through an amended complaint, and we would ask
that the Court direct counsel to notify us when that happens.
Because we are not learning about it until sometimes later.
     Thank you. That's the only item we have, beyond what we
have talked about.
         JUDGE GOODWIN: There clearly is an obligation to do
that, and I expect people to adhere to it.
          MR. KING:
                    Thank you.
          JUDGE GOODWIN: Did plaintiffs have anything to add?
                         No, Your Honor. This is the first we
          MR. ANDERSON:
have heard of that, so we will address it after court.
          JUDGE GOODWIN:
                         Thank you very much.
```

```
1
               Next we have Ethicon.
2
               MR. AYLSTOCK: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 3
     Aylstock for the plaintiffs.
4
          Like the other MDLs, we've always gotten along with our
5
     counterparts on the other side, representing Ethicon.
6
               JUDGE GOODWIN: Always is a slight exaggeration.
7
     isn't it?
8
               MR. AYLSTOCK: Maybe so. We have had our
9
     disagreements, but Judge Eifert's always been able to handle
10
     those for the most part before they get to Your Honor.
11
          Discovery is ongoing. As the Court's aware, there is a
12
     large number of different products involved in the Ethicon
13
     MDL, about a dozen, and so we're continuing to work through
14
     the discovery and the privilege log issues.
15
          There are trials set throughout the country. Your Honor
16
     has one set in late August here, the Edwards case. There is
17
     cases set in Texas in July, August, and September; as well as
18
     Philadelphia now in state court beginning in December. And
19
     Judge Martinotti, who I know you know well, has set a couple
20
     of cases for this year as well and also encouraged the parties
21
     through his mandatory mediation program, and that's
22
     progressing.
23
          That's all I have to represent.
24
               JUDGE GOODWIN: All right. Ms. Jones.
25
               MS. JONES: Ms. Jones on behalf of defendant.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here named Riley?

I really don't have anything to add to what Mr. Aylstock has said. I think the Court's aware of the status of our negotiations. JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you. I do know that the parties in the Ethicon MDL have been working diligently with regard to discovery and other matters, and I appreciate, appreciate the efforts. Coloplast. Ms. Varney? MS. VARNEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Lana Varney on behalf of Coloplast. Your Honor, as we reported yesterday with the Plaintiffs Steering Committee, we continue to make steady progress using our early case assessment protocol. We are going to work with the PSC and recirculate this Court's pretrial order number 65 which sets out the protocol and encouraged people to submit their cases into the protocol, to get us the medical records by July 31st of this year so we can continue to make progress. JUDGE GOODWIN: Ms. Varney, thank you very much. I'm aware of the progress that you made because I have received reports from both sides, as you know, but I do encourage people to engage in that process, and to the extent that I think it is wise to recirculate that order to allow

Who'd like to speak for the plaintiffs? Is there anybody

people to find out about it who may have missed out.

MR. BURNETT: No.

MR. SALIM: Robert Salim for the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

Your Honor, we are going to put on the list sort of like we did before where we got good results and send out to every single lawyer in the country who has a case on file reminding them of what the protocol is, what it consists of, and ask them if they have any questions to contact us.

The defendants have graciously agreed to extend the deadline under 65 to the end of July, so that will give us some additional time. And we are going to personally reach out and talk with the firms and make sure everybody's aware of the program and has an opportunity to participate that desires to.

JUDGE GOODWIN: Well, I appreciate the efforts of Mr. Burnett, Mr. Salim, and Mr. Mueller.

I think I should say to all of you, some who may not know this, that these lawyers are acting on behalf of not only themselves and their personal clients but as appointed leaders in the multidistrict litigation. Many of the lawyers here today spend a considerable amount of their time ably representing and communicating with lawyers throughout the nation who have these cases and the effort which was just described, recirculating information, is something that goes on frequently.

It is frustrating in any case for lawyers and clients to have adequate communication. One of the struggles that lawyers always have is keeping their clients informed.

Clients have an obligation in that regard, too. Many clients don't stay in touch with the lawyers. Some have even moved and not left a forwarding address.

But in any event, I applaud the able leadership for their efforts to keep everybody informed.

And then I would encourage the individual plaintiffs who have questions about their case to contact their individual lawyers. The leadership will be happy to try to help you where they can, but your lawyer is still responsible for your case, and they are the ones that should be able to provide you with the answers to your questions. If they don't have the answer, they will move swiftly to get it for you.

Let's talk about the -- we have something from

Mr. Garretson, who has been working on the lien resolution

problem and been acting as a lien resolution administrator.

MR. GARRETSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Matt Garretson with the Garretson Resolution Group.

I will give a brief update, Your Honor, on the federal liens, such as Medicare and Medicaid, as well as some of the other liens that are involved in these settlements.

As the Court's aware, on February 27th of 2014, the Court appointed the Garretson Resolution Group as the lien

resolution administrator to come up with a consolidated program for resolving all Medicare's interests in any of the cases with any of the TVM defendants, should they settle.

As part of that, we went to the government and engaged in negotiations that come up with a global, what we call a global resolution program, which came up with fixed settlement amounts with Medicare by injury category. And the rationale for that, Your Honor, is that if we had to do all these cases one at a time with Medicare, that process of working through the government, working through the contractors could drag on for years.

We were also to set up a conduit through which the parties could easily determine which of the claimants were in fact on Medicare -- and so that's called a verification of entitlement procedure -- as well as create a consolidated way to ensure that all of the defendants -- or I am sorry -- that all of the payments to Medicare were made timely.

So I am happy to report that much of that work has indeed been completed. We reached an agreement with CMS on June 18th of 2014 that established those procedures, as well as those reimbursement values that I mentioned.

So the procedures, again, are designed to be utilized by all claimants who may receive settlements arising out of any of the litigation before you.

The other interesting part about this arrangement with

the government is because this accomplishes the government's purpose of being reimbursed in every single case, they have agreed to waive the requirements that all the defendants would otherwise have to report every single settlement to the federal government in this matter, and so that's called the MMSEA Section 111 reporting requirements.

And should any defendant be interested, we're happy to share with them a copy of that agreement that allows them to bypass that cumbersome process.

So the process with respect to Medicare is working smoothly and as planned. On a monthly basis, when we're informed of settlements, we are able to quickly apply these global values that need to be deducted from the settlement amount and repaid to Medicare.

That happens, as I said, on a monthly basis. And, again, the way that works, just so the parties have an understanding of that, is for every type of injury -- and just broadly speaking, let's just say non-surgery, outpatient surgery, inpatient surgery, there is an actual fixed dollar amount that goes back to Medicare from each of those categories depending on when the date of entitlement -- when they became entitled to Medicare and when the injury occurred so no one is overpaying, if you will, for the fixed payment amount on account of the date on which they became entitled.

So that process, again, is working well. In fact, money

is moving back to the federal government on account of the settlements that have already taken place.

So that, Your Honor, is Medicare in a nutshell. The process there is going well.

With respect to Medicaid, in addition to the Medicare liens, being the federal Medicare Part A and B, of course Medicaid is the program that's administered by the states and the territories that is also part federally funded, so the feds -- the federal government has an interest in seeing those interests repaid as does -- as do the states.

So those are addressed according to the terms of any specific Master Settlement Agreement. But in general, it's the state of the art that any settlement that would take place in any MDL would address Medicaid's interests.

So, again, mostly good news there as well. That process is working well.

With respect to Medicaid, there is three milestones every claimant must go through: The first being verification of entitlement. We go out in this capacity as lien resolution administrator and verify with every state and territory whether any of the settling claimants were, in fact, entitled to Medicaid at any time after the date of their injury through the date of their settlement.

After that piece is taken care of, the states agree to a cap, what they will say that, unlike Medicare where we do a

fixed amount that's removed from every settlement to pay back Medicare, the states agree on a cap. So like 20 percent, for instance, and say as long as you hold back 20 percent of any gross settlement award, we will allow the balance to flow to the claimant, and then the lien is adjudicated within that 20 percent holdback. And when it's finally resolved, the balance is paid back to the claimant.

So that's phase two. You have, first, verification of entitlement; two, we have to get the claims in so that you can adjudicate them within that holdback. And then, finally, those are approved.

Again, the good news is it's working well in most cases.

There are -- well, just some quick statistics. 95
percent of all the claimants are cleared through that first
gate of verification within 30 days, which means when given a
group of claimants, 50 states and 3 territories can tell us if
those claimants are, in fact, Medicaid entitled within 30
days.

Also an interesting statistic, approximately 40 percent of all the mesh claimants have been determined to be entitled to Medicaid thus far.

It is at the second of those milestones, getting the claims data back from the states, that we are having difficulty with three states in particular. California, Texas, and Michigan are outside what we would say the

generally accepted practices for getting us that claims data.

75 percent of all the claims data from all other states are received within 45 days. The -- kind of the upper end of that is 90 days. Regrettably those threes states, California, Texas, and Michigan, are averaging more than 250 days to get us that data.

I bring it to the Court's attention for a couple reasons. One, obviously, we want to get that process moving and want the courts and parties to be aware of it. It's not as dire as it might seem because there is a holdback in place, right? We are moving money to those women while we adjudicate those liens under that holdback.

But like all parties, they want to see this shut down after a period of time, and taking 250 days is a little bit too long.

We've reached out to the states. They tell us that they are attempting to pull the claims information from several managed care organizations and other areas. But, again, we're going to watch it closely. We will keep the Court aware of it and the parties aware of it. It's a small percentage, but it's still significant enough that we thought we should bring it to everybody's attention.

And finally, to conclude, Your Honor, with respect to private liens, we are the administrator of several of the private lien resolution programs that have been entered into

by the settling parties, settling plaintiffs, and groups such as the Rawlins Group or other groups that represent many of the health carriers.

Participating in those private lien resolution programs is about 75 percent of the private lien marketplace, meaning 75 percent of the covered lives in America, if you were to look at statistically, are covered by plans like United or Humana or Aetna that are participating.

There are specific -- like I described with Medicaid, very similar procedures exist for these private liens where there is a cap, and there's time frames through which the lien, the plans agree to provide us that information so the liens can be adjudicated.

And, again, those are operating as intended. Some refinements and operational improvements in any process as you would expect, but no issues to report there, Your Honor.

The only other area that's similar to Medicaid, just bringing to the parties' attention, all those health plans that are not participating in the private lien resolution program, so roughly 25 percent of the private lien marketplace.

Similar to med -- those situations of Medicaid, with a few of those states, having some problems getting responses, and it means that we are holding -- or the parties are holding money that could otherwise be flowing to the claimants.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In past settlements, we have informed the Court, as we are doing here today, if it continues, we'd come back and seek further guidance to see what help we could get in getting these last pieces of the puzzle put to bed. But, again, I wanted to bring it to your attention. But all in all, I want to report things are moving smoothly. The tracks that had been laid over the last several months, last year since the appointment, are replicable and now available for any of the claimants and any of the defendants that may settle cases. JUDGE GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Garretson. That is an excellent report and reflects very commendable work that you and your firm has done thus far. I can assure you that you will have the assistance of the Court in any fashion that is appropriate to deal with some of the problem areas you have identified. If you believe it would be helpful for me to communicate with the governors or with someone else, we'll talk. MR. GARRETSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Let me see where I can get over the next 30 days. I will report back. Thank you. JUDGE GOODWIN: I'm going to say today mostly good This has been a long process. It is not near to the things. ending, but we are on a path.

Now, I talked about the need to get on that path at our

last get-together, and I think most of us, but not all, have chosen to do that.

There are good faith efforts, and in some cases substantial progress, being made, in some, but not all, of the multidistrict litigation.

I encourage the parties. I understand the frustration that exists in each and every individual case, the frustration of each and every plaintiff with the delay in timely resolution, the frustration of each and every defendant who believes they have been sued without justification, or, in the processes, not been provided with information to which they are entitled in order to determine their responsibility.

I have said before, it's impossible for the entire federal judiciary to try every one of these cases. I am pleased to say today that I am encouraged enough to believe that's not going to be necessary. We are moving along.

I have laid out statistics in the past. I am not going to do that today. I am well aware that there have been verdicts in cases, and there will be verdicts in the future. Recall that there have been both defense verdicts and plaintiffs' verdicts. I urge defendants and plaintiffs' lawyers and plaintiffs themselves not to have undue influence on resolution of these claims.

Given the number of claims in these MDLs and in the state courts throughout the country, only a relative few, no matter

how hard we try, only a relative few of 100,000 cases will go to jury verdict. Even those cases, they go to trial and result in a plaintiffs' verdict, will spend years in the appellate courts, in the appellate process, before anything is resolved.

So I don't mean to go down that detour. I want to say let's just stay on the path that I am encouraged to believe that we are on now in most of these multidistrict litigation cases.

I believe that counsel for the defendants and counsel for the plaintiffs are some of the best lawyers in the country.

And lawyers are more than simple advocates or valuators in an arena; they are also counselors of law.

And I encourage clients who are represented here today, and clients everywhere who learn of this conference, to listen to your lawyers and heed their counsel. They are being paid by you to give you good advice in evaluating your claim and in telling you or suggesting to you what a resolution of that claim should be.

I would be the first to tell you if there were short practices that I had noted or anything was wrong with the representation being offered by the leadership in this multidistrict litigation. I can report to you quite the contrary. The lawyers have engaged in the utmost -- have performed with the utmost professionalism and lived up to the

standards of lawyering in the best sense.

I expect that to continue. I know that it will.

In our culture today, news reporting and social media are very important, but importance and accuracy are not always equivalent. I would ask each of you to accurately convey to those to whom you are responsible or are engaged with regard to these matters to convey accurately what you hear, what you've heard.

I ask you to tell your clients, counsel, what you should tell them. What your best advice is. What your experience and your judgment and your intelligence and your education tells you is best for your clients.

And I would ask them to note, to the extent they hear what I have to say, that they should accept your reports and not always what they might hear some other place.

I will have -- and I couldn't resist just a little paragraph lecture. I will have and I will continue to apply pressure, where necessary, to the parties on each side, but I will act in appropriate cases to allow room for parties, who have chosen a path that may lead to resolution, some leeway.

Trials will continue, here and elsewhere. But I am encouraged about what I am learning from the parties and have learned here this afternoon. It is the case that each of these lawyers in each of these cases is a necessity in the end being settled one at a time, individually.

As a consequence, neither any of you, nor I, will ever know exactly all of the terms of the settlements.

Settlements, when they occur, are the result of good faith negotiations between two sides to a dispute. And if both sides are happy or both sides are unhappy, but nevertheless in agreement, that is the end of the process.

But it does mean that there is not as much information for me or for you to talk about as we would like. I'll digress, as I often do, to say to you that everybody thinks the judges know what the cases before them are all about. I rarely know more about the cases than what I read in the newspapers or the pleadings that the parties file. In the case of criminal cases, I almost don't know anything except what the indictment says and what I read in my morning newspaper, and that's not always accurate.

So frustration will arise because there are things going on that you won't know the details of, and can't and never will.

Once again, I turn to you and ask you to trust your lawyers. Some of the best lawyers in America are in this room. Some of the best lawyers in America are in this MDL, and they will give you wise counsel. Follow it.

That concludes our status conference for the day, except to ask Judge Eifert if she has anything.

JUDGE EIFERT: I have nothing to add.

```
1
                JUDGE GOODWIN: Thanks all of you for attending.
 2
     Safe travels.
 3
           (Proceedings concluded at 1:46 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Mary A. Schweinhagen (304)347-3188

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Mary A. Schweinhagen, Federal Official Realtime Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. s/Mary A. Schweinhagen JUNE 8, 2015 MARY A. SCHWEINHAGEN, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Mary A. Schweinhagen (304)347-3188